## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE IN PALESTINE,
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

1:24-CV-523-RP

GREG ABBOTT, in his official capacity only
as the Governor of the State of Texas, et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

Before the Court are Defendants' Unopposed Motion To Strike, Seal, And Supplement The Record On Dkt. 35, (Dkt. 42), and Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Strike, Seal, and Supplement the Record on Dkt. 36, (Dkt. 43). In the motions, which are Defendants' fourth and fifth attempts to correct these exhibits, (*see* Dkts. 33, 35, and 36), Defendants seek the Court's leave to strike and seal certain exhibits because the personal email address of a non-party inadvertently left visible in the Declaration of Katie McGee. Plaintiffs are unopposed to Defendants' requests.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendants' Unopposed Motion To Strike, Seal, And Supplement The Record On Dkt. 35, (Dkt. 42), is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Dkt. 31-6 is **STRICKEN** from the record.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall **SEAL** Dkt. 31-6.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Dkt. 35-1 is **STRICKEN** from the record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall SEAL Dkt. 35-1.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall **SUPPLEMENT** the motion to dismiss, (Dkt. 31), by **ATTACHING** the Second Corrected Exhibit, (Dkt. 42-1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Strike, Seal, and

Supplement the Record on Dkt. 36, (Dkt. 43), is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Dkts. 32-12 and 32-13 are STRICKEN from the

record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall SEAL Dkts. 32-12 and

32-13.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Dkts. 36-1 and 36-2 are STRICKEN from the

record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall SEAL Dkts. 36-1 and 36-

2.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall SUPPLEMENT the

response to the preliminary injunction motion, (Dkt. 32), by **ATTACHING** the Second Corrected

Exhibits, (Dkts. 43-1 and 43-2).

Lastly, the Court strongly urges the parties to take care in proofreading their filings and

exhibits before submitting them on the docket. The Court understands the importance of ensuring

that sensitive material is not inadvertently made public, but as a matter of course, the Court does not

allow parties unlimited opportunities to correct such errors. Given that Defendants have filed five

subsequent motions, (Dkts. 33, 35, 36, 42, and 43), to correct these exhibits, the Court may deny

future attempts to amend or correct.

**SIGNED** on August 30, 2024.

ROBERT PITMAN

1266 Mm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2